

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

18 February 2010

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD

THRU: Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight)

SUBJECT: (U/FOUO) Report to the Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA Activities - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U//FOUO) Except as previously reported to you or the President, or otherwise stated in the enclosure, we have no reason to believe that any intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the quarter ending 30 June 2009 were unlawful or contrary to Executive Order or Presidential Directive and thus should have been reported pursuant to Section 1.6(c) of Executive Order 12333.

(U/EQUO) The Inspector General and the General Counsel continue to exercise oversight of Agency activities by inspections, surveys, training, review of directives and guidelines, and advice and counsel. These activities and other data requested by the Board or members of the staff of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) are described in the enclosure.

GEORGI ELLARD

PATRICK J. REYNOLDS Acting General Counsel

(U//FOUO) I concur in the report of the Inspector General and the General Counsel and hereby make it our combined report.

KEITH B. ALEXANDER Lieutenant General, U. S. Army Director, NSA/Chief, CSS

Encl:

Quarterly Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCLASSIFIED//For Official Use Only" upon removal of enclosure(s)

1. (U//FOUO) Intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-related activities that violate law, regulation, or policy substantiated during the quarter, as well as actions taken as a result of the violations

(U) Intelligence Activities
(TS//SI//REL TO USA. FVEY) Unintentional collection against United States persons This quarter, there wereinstances in which Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) analysts inadvertently targeted or collected communications to, from, or about U.S. persons while pursuing foreign intelligence tasking. All intercepts and reports have been deleted or destroyed as required by United States SIGINT Directive (USSID) SP0018.
(U) Unauthorized Targeting
Electronic Mail (e-mail) selector remained tasked after an Attorney General authorization had expired on The NSA analyst detasked all selectors on before the authorization expired, but was not aware The unauthorized targeting took place from when Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) Amendments Act (FAA) 705b authorization was obtained. No collection occurred between A review of the incident resulted in a change in operating procedures.
(S//SI//REL TO USA. FVEY) A software update caused a failure in one between The old version of the software was reloaded, and the was rebuilt to correct the problem. The collection was purged from the NSA database
(TS//SI//NF) human error caused The mistake was found and corrected
NSA/Attorney General-approved minimization procedures do not permit NSA to use U.S. person identifiers as selection terms in repositories of collected communications. It is unknown how much, or even if, unauthorized data was collected, and it is not possible to sort the results from valid foreign intelligence targeting results or purge the data by referencing the U.S. person selector without further Executive Order (E.O.) 12333 violations. (TS//SU/NE) selectors belonging to a U.S.
person were retasked by mistake. The telephone selectors had been detasked when NSA analysts learned of the target's U.S. citizenship, but the detasking analyst failed to Consequently, the selectors were retasked
intercepts were collected. The selectors were detasked and appropriately marked to
(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P L 86-36

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Derived From: NSA CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20320108

(b)(1)
(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
(b)(3)-18 USC 798
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(1)	TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(3)-P L 86-36	
	prevent tasking, and the related collection was purged from the NSA database No reports were issued.
	(TS#SWNF) Human error resulted in the targeting of while he was in the United States between The NSA analyst learned of
	detask the selector. On the analyst learned from collateral intelligence that the target had been in the United States since that the with no collection noted between the target had been in the United States since the targeted selector was detasked on the targ
	(COMSEC) Monitoring operations identified possible criminal activity of child abuse. After the discovery had been reported, the analyst incorrectly reviewed other collection from the U.S. person looking for more evidence of child abuse. The analyst was not authorized to search the COMSEC data for a purpose unrelated to COMSEC. (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC (b)(3)-P.L. 86
	(TS//SI//NF) The target of a tasked selector was in U.S. territorial waters for one day before the selector was removed from tasking.
	The two analysts responsible for monitoring the target were on leave when the target entered U.S. territorial waters on collection on No collection occurred while the vessel was in U.S. waters. No reports were issued. As a result of this process weakness, additional analysts were added to the to prevent future oversights.
in the	while reviewing skills learned in a database training class, an NSA analyst queried the personal e-mail address he shares with his wife. The analyst explained that he used the familiar e-mail address because a query for target selector data did not produce results, and he was concerned that he was not formatting the query properly. This violation was found by the analyst's auditor No collection resulted from the mistake. The analyst reviewed USSID SP0018 and completed additional database training.
L. 86-36	(TS//St//REL TO USA, FVEY) an NSA analyst found that a targeted selector the United States on This was discovered during a Department of Justice directed audit of No collection or reporting occurred while the target was in the United States.
	(TS//SI//NF)
	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) selector remained on tasking during a target's visit to the United States.

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

.**	The colorior was detacked on	when on NEA analyst found the mistake. No
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	The selector was detasked on queries were made on the selector from target was in the United States.	when an NSA analyst found the mistake. No and no reports were issued while the
(D)(D)-1	(TS//SL/NF) During a selector review	NSA analysts found
(b)(1)	an NSA database the same day. Additionally, N since remained on tasking after to The selectors were detasked, and interpretation of the control of the contr	he target entered the United States in reepts were purged from an NSA database on collection. The risk of recurrence has been
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	intercepts.	
		The analyst found his earch. All selectors were detasked on ourged from an NSA database. No reports were
b)(1) b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) b)(3)-18 USC 798 b)(3)-P L 86-36	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)	
	(TS//SI//NF) NSA analysts fo	ound that a valid foreign target's selector was
		The selector was detasked
	A database check revealed no U.S. telephone number.	collection, and no reporting occurred on the
	(U) Database Queries	(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	ecasions. analysts constructions, and on of those occasions, the queri- returned results from the overly broad or incom- issued. Procedural errors contributed to of the	plete queries were deleted, and no reports were
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	which resulted in collection of indicated that	Foreign intelligence and the analyst queried the selector without The analyst's auditor found the mistake

(b)(1) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID:	4165580
--------	---------

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36	2009. and the related collection was purged from the NSA database No reporting occurred from the collection.
	an NSA Signals Development analyst queried in an effort to obtain foreign intelligence targets. The violation was found by the analyst's auditor The results obtained were deleted and the analyst was counseled on unauthorized searches. No reporting occurred from the collection.
	while pursuing a target related to the an analyst failed to was located in the United States. Found by an auditor the query did not produce results.
b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	* (TS//SI/NE) On an NSA analyst queried a fist of selectors not related to his current office's mission. He had used the list during a previous assignment in another office. of the selectors were found to be in the United States. No collection resulted from the query. The selector list was destroyed
	while pursuing a target related to a an NSA analyst failed to prior to conducting a query. was located in the Unifed States. Found by the analyst's auditor the query and results were deleted from the NSA database. No reports were issued on the query results, and the analyst was counseled on due diligence.
	(TS://SU/REL TO USA, FVEY) an NSA analyst used the with no other.
o)(1) o)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) o)(3)-P.L. 86-36	qualifiers. the analyst realized her mistake when the query returned approximately results. The results were deleted without review (TS//SI//NF) On an NSA analyst queried a target selector after it had been detasked. Unknown to the analyst, the target selector had been detasked when it was the United States. When the analyst learned of the incident, he deleted the resulting collection No reports were issued on the collection.
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P L 86-36	human error resulted in the targeting of U.S. telephone numbers related to a foreign The NSA analyst forgot that the database he queried contained unminimized and unevaluated SIGINT data. No collection resulted from the queries, which were deleted
	an NSA analyst performed a database query on a U.S. e-mail address while researching a valid foreign target.
	The mistake was found by the analyst's auditor on
	(b)(1)

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOTORN (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
and the query results were deleted. The auditor provided additional query training to the analyst. No reports were issued.
StGINT product reports during this quarter. In these reports. StGINT analysts improperly disseminated communications to, from, or about U.S. persons or entities while pursuing foreign intelligence. All data have been deleted or destroyed as required. A total of StGINT products were cancelled as NSA analysts learned of the U.S. persons, organizations, or entities. The reports were either not reissued or were reissued with proper minimization.
(U) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U) Unintentional Access
(S//SI//NF) On 1 June 2009. DoJ notified the FISA Court (FISC) of a possible compliance
incident under the
WELLION CONTRACTOR TO THE TANK
(TS//SI//ORCON//REL TO USA, FVEY)
(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P_LL 86-36

	(U) Unauthorized Targeting
_	(TS//SI//NF) Targeting continued on a FISC-authorized target's e-mail selector after An NSA analyst noticed the lack of collection
	on Research revealed the target The selector was removed from collection on occurred. No collection or reporting
	(TS//SI//NF) An NSA analyst misinterpreted the provisions of a FISC Order and initiated targeting of cellular telephone numbers that were not specified on the Order.
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P L 86-36	selectors were detasked as the mistakes were identified. NSA purged intercepts from the NSA database.
	(TS//SI//NF) On NSA learned that a FISC-approved selector had not been removed from collection when the target
	selector was detasked and all related collection was purged from NSA databases the same day. No reporting resulted from the unauthorized collection. (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	(U) Database Queries (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (TS//SI/NF) an NSA analyst queried non-FISA data
	The mistake was found by the analyst's auditor unauthorized collection was not reviewed and deleted from the query results No reporting occurred on the non-FISA data.
	(TS://SI://NF) NSA analysts queried non-FISA data The analysts copied the wrong e-mail selector into their query.
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P L 86-36	mistake was discovered by the analyst All associated results were deleted on when the mistakes were identified. No reports were issued on the non-FISA data.
	(TS//SI/NF) an NSA analyst queried non-FISA data The analyst did not when crafting
	the query. The query results were deleted when the errors were identified. No reports were issued on the non-FISA data.
/	an NSA analyst mistakenly selected an option
	The mistake was noticed by the analyst and corrected associated with the unauthorized collection were deleted and no reports were

	stances, the calls were deleted im	
recognition, in accordance with USSID SP00	18 guidelines, and no reports wer	re issued.
(TS//SI//NF) Business Records Order	The second secon	
(U) Nothing to report.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC (b)(3)-18 USC
(TS//SI//NF) Pen Register/Trap and Trac	ce Order	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
(U) Nothing to report.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(U) The Protect America Act (PAA)		
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) During a task	ring record review	NSA
analysts found that an incorrect	target selector	
	et selector was detasked	NSA
analysts do not know if the incorrect selector		lection resulted
from the typing error. No reports were issued	1.	
(U) The FISA Amendments Act (FAA)		
	,1974/16	(b)(1)
(U) Section 702		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U) Tasked under an incorrect FAA Certificat	aon	
(TOHOH/DELTY) LICA EVEV)	on NSA analyst divancered	the
associated with a valid foreign target had been	an NSA analyst discovered	that selectors
	re was insufficient information to	link the targets to
Centreation Because the	the selectors were removed fi	
the associated collection was purged from the	_ 1 4	rom tasking and
The base in the content of the party in the	,,	/
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, EVEY)	an NSA analyst discovered the	hat a selector had
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) been tasked under two authorities. The target	an NSA analyst discovered the selector was incorrectly tasked to	1
been tasked under two authorities. The target	selector was incorrectly tasked to	under the 🖊
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla	under the / cing the
heen tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected	selector was incorrectly tasked to have a large selector was a large selector was incorrectly a large selector was incorrec	under the / cing the
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the
heen tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the cing the certification
been tasked under two authorities. The target Certification Certification with the corrected was added. The Certification was remove	selector was incorrectly tasked to Instead of repla Certification, the ed from the tasking information	under the / cing the

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) Detasking Delay	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
-(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) An NSA analyst did not detask a	target selector when the
	its were purged from the
NSA database without review when the mistake wa	s identified. The analyst was
counseled on detasking procedures.	
(U) Section 704	(b)(1)
(U) U.S. Person Status	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
(TS//SI/NT) On two occasions, not all selectors were detasked when	n NSA analysts learned
that an FAA Section 704 target was in the United States. In the first	
	s in the United States.
	ting the same day. No FAA-
related collection occurred between and and	when the target was in the
	was purged from NSA
databases As a result of this violation	4 / 0
amended analytic training to reinforce tasking and detasking proced-	,
implemented	The second instance
occurred when another analyst detasked selectors	7
•	vas discovered and
terminated and the resulting collection was purged to	
same day. No reporting resulted from either violation.	
(U) Section 705b	
(U) Unauthorized targeting	
AN NS A applyed migle kendy avanied	a gatagram vilaita tha targat
was in the United States. The target, authorized for overseas collect	_
	reporting resulted from the
unauthorized targeting.	reporting resulted from the
unaution exertiageting.	
(U) Database Queries	
(TS//SI/NF) an NSA analyst constructed a poor	database query, which
	The analyst had
been using unfamiliar analysis tools as she was pursuing a FAA 705	-
	he query results were deleted
by the analyst's auditor	1
	\
(TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst mistakenly queried P.	AA data while pursuing a
FAA 705b-authorized target. Her mistake was compounded when s	, ·
preceding the authorization. The query	
	intercepts were destroyed
	*
	(b)(1)

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

	(b)(1) TOP SECRET//COMINET/NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	TOT BECKELL COMMUNICATION OF A C
	when the violation was identified by the analyst's auditor. No reports were
	issued.
	(TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst mistakenly queried a database for data outside
	the authorization date. The 705b authorization was granted on Data queries for dates before were not authorized. Queries on targeted selectors were
	conducted to obtain target data between No data was
	obtained from the query.
	(U) Unauthorized Targeting
	(TS//SH/NF) NSA analysts left a target's telephone selectors on collection while
	/ NSA analysts were notified by the
	FBI U.S. person in
	NSA analysts should have No collection occurred between
	(b)(1)
	(U) Detasking Delays (b)(3)-50 USC 3024 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(TS#S#/NF) Human error caused a detasking delay, which resulted in collection while
	the target was in the United States. The NSA analyst learned on that the target
	the United States The analyst detasked the target's telephone
John Committee C	selectors on This oversight
(b)(1)	Was found on The resulting collection was purged from NSA databases on No reports were issued from that collection.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	No reports were issued from that concerna.
	(TS//SI/NF) A target selector remained on collection after an NSA analyst learned
	that the selector was not associated with the intended target. the request to
	detask the target selector was overlooked by the analyst responsible for the detasking. This error was brought to light when the e-mail selector, tasked under the FAA
	was brought to light when the e-mail selector, tasked under the FAA Certification. the United States The selector was
	detasked on and the data was purged from NSA databases on
	2009. The delay between recognition of the violation and detasking and purging action occurred
	because the analyst responsible for the action was on leave.
in the state of th	an NSA analyst learned that a targeted selector remained tasked
and the first in the second	after the selector An NSA analyst learned that a targeted selector remained tasked
(b)(1)	responsible for detasking was on leave when the initial detasking notification was submitted on
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	The analyst was notified again when the selector was again
The state of the s	The selector was detasked the data
4.	was purged from NSA databases No reports were
	issued from the collection.
	(TS//SV/NF) Not all the selectors were detasked the
	United States on telephone numbers associated with the
	(b)(1)
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(ii

	TOP SECRET/COMINT/NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	target were detasked because of an analyst's oversight. The selectors were
	detasked on and resulting collection was purged from NSA databases
	No reporting occurred from the unintentional collection.
	CCC//CV/A:P2
	the United States on but the selector was not detasked until
	The intercepts were purged from NSA databases on
	intercepts were parged from the fundades on
	(b)(1)
	(U) Destruction Delay
	(TS//SI/NF) U.S. person data was not purged from NSA databases in a timely manner.
	Collection obtained while an FAA target was in the United States was purged
	after NSA analysts learned that the c-mail selector The
	data was purged the
	U.S. location. and because of staffing shortfalls, a backlog for
	purging occurred.
and the same	No reports were issued.
(b)(1)	(TS//SI//NF) A target tasked under FAA Certification the United States for
(b)(3)-50 USC 3024((b)(3)-P L 86-36	before a request to purge NSA databases of collection was obtained.
	the target's e-mail selector The request to purge the data was
	submitted Purging commenced immediately and was completed
	and because of staffing shortfalls, a backlog for
	purging occurred. No reports were issued.
	TWISPITS WILL ISSUEM.
(b)(1)	(TS//SL/NF) A targeted selector remained on taskingafter NSA
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	analysts learned that the target was a U.S. Green Card holder. when an
	NSA analyst learned of the U.S. person status, he submitted a detasking request on the selector.
	Action was not taken on the detasking request. This mistake was compounded by delays in
	purging the data from NSA databases. Data was not purged from after NSA analysts learned of the target's U.S.
(b)(1)	person status.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	(TSTISHINE) A delay in purging data from a NSA database occurred after an NSA
	analyst learned on that a targeted e-mail selector the United States.
	After the selector was detasked action to complete purging of the data from the
	NSA database was not completed until and because of staffing shortfalls, a backlog for purging occurred.
	No reports were issued.
	(TSUSUINF) A foreign target's selector was not detasked on when the
	authorization expired. The selector the United States on The
	analyst on the selector, but failed to defask it. Consequently, the
	selector when FAA tasking was enacted. The selector was detasked
home	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 302
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

			(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(U) Dissemination		
	(TS//SI//NF) On 24 June 2009, duri Order implementation, the review to in a manner not authorized by the F telephone numbers, was forwarded	eam found that NSA disseminate ISA BR Court Order. The report to	ed one SIGINT product report t, containing U.S.
	repositories	At the request of NSA,	ourged the data from its
	(U) Other		(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(
	(U) Unauthorized Access	(b)(3)-P L 86-36	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	almost two years with training crede The security violation was compour allowing him access to unminimized data are to successfully complete US USSID SP0018 training was two ye SIGINT data was terminated Staff Officer. The analyst returned (TS//SI//NF NSA technolog accessed a shared metadata database violation of NSA/CSS Manual 130- Manual. The discovery was made to query while monitoring the data sys of the users were not authorized to a leading to the access of unminimize appropriate database access authorizactivities had not been vetted throug advice from NSA SIGINT Director some employees had not completed	anded when NSA did not confirm d SIGINT. Employees with accession SP0018 training bi-annual ears out of scope. The analyst's a when the oversight we to the when the oversight we account from 1, NSA/CSS Operational Informed access. Several procedures were ed and unevaluated data, including attains or database oversight regath the NSA Office of General Coate's Oversight and Compliance training necessary for data hand training for handling thing attains of access requests d from the an NSA analyst for the NSA office of General Coate's Oversight and Compliance training necessary for data hand training for handling attaining to handling thing attaining access requests d from the an NSA analyst for the notation of access requests d from the an NSA analyst for the notation of access requests d from the an NSA analyst for the notation of access requests d from the an NSA analyst for the notation of access requests d from the notation of access requ	INT and U.S. person data for apse by his organization. the analyst's training before ess to unminimized SIGINT ly. The lecess to unminimized was identified by an line of a which line in toffowed properly. Interpretation of the line of the line of the leces without puirements. First, the project ounsel. Second. compliance had not been sought. Third, ling. Of the line on chief misunderstood that

DOCID: 4	4165580
----------	---------

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P	1 OP SECRET//COMIN 1//NOFORM (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 L- 86-36
	text of the report had been released he assumed that the slide could be disseminated. All recipients confirmed deletion of the PowerPoint slide.
	CTS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY spreadsheet containing FAA data to an NSA who had not been
г	cleared for the FAA-obtained metadata. The linguist mistakenly believed that the had been cleared for FAA data.
·	The access violation was compounded when the did not notice the FAA data handling caveat and further disseminated the spreadsheet to others within the SIGINT Production Chain by e-mail. An analyst recognized the handling caveat and notified the of the improper disseminations. recipients not authorized access to FAA data confirmed deletion of the e-mail.
	to another cryptanalyst for FAA data. When the cryptanalyst realized that the content was derived from FAA collection,
	he removed the data from his computer screen (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(TS//SWREL TO USA, EVEV)
(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024((b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	(U) Dissemination
-	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)
	+S#SI/REL TO USA, EVEY SIGINT intercept
-[Containing U.S. person information was While reviewing a U.S. analyst noticed U.S. person
	information (S//REL-TO USA, FVEY) an NSA analyst forwarded an e-mail containing
	FAA data to recipients: of whom had not completed training required for access to FAA information. Within one hour of recognizing the mistake, the analysts not authorized access to FAA data had deleted the e-mail.
	(b)(1)
	(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

	(U) Counterintelligence Activities		
		/(b)(1) /(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	
	(U) Nothing to report.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	(U) Intelligence-related Activities		
	-(S//SI//NF) To reduce the risk of unauthorized te	lephony collection and prevent violations, NS	SA
	instituted a process to give analysts greater and f		
			No.
			A.
		\sim \sim \sim	
		///	
		In the Instances when collection	
	occurred, it was purged from NSA databases.	— /	
		<u> </u>	_
	CTS//SV/NT)		₹ .
	(100,000,000)		-13-1 -1
	NSA analysts found e-mail selector	ore	ᆛ
	trost dualysts to the configuration of	Collection occurred in	
•	only of the instances and was purged from h		
	and the managed from th	vort admonses.	
	(C//REL TO USA, FVEY) Although not violation	ine of F.O. 12333 and related directives	
	NSA/CSS reports instances in which database		1
	no longer required. Once identified, the accesses		(b)(1)
	no longer required. Once identified, the accesses	s were terminated.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(TS//SI/NE)		
ſ	(15/101/141)		
f			
/ L	,		
1	(C//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) While developing	a brief to avacant to the	
1		g a brief to present to the	
<i>[]</i>	In a	foreign nationala (NOEODNI) Daggarah	
-1/-2		foreign nationals (NOFORN). Research	/
	revealed that one of the four graphical user inter-		
1 /		the GUI. The	(S
	security matter occurred	and was discovered by an auditor	
	The GUI authentication access was correct	ted No NOFORN data wa	S
4/	retained by the analyst.		
\mathcal{M}			
V			
(b)(1)			
(b)(3)-50 USC 3 (b)(3)-18 USC 7		(b)(1)	2024(i)
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		(b)(3)-50 USC 3 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	0∠ 4 (1) 3

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

2. (U//FOUO) NSA Office of the Inspector General Intelligence Oversight Inspections, Investigations, and Special Studies

(U//TOUO) During this quarter, the OIG reviewed various intelligence activities of the NSA/CSS to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with statutes. Executive Orders, Attorney General procedures, and Department of Defense and internal directives. With few exceptions, the problems uncovered were routine and showed that operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities.

(U//FOUO) NSA/CSS Texas (NSAT)

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U//TOUO) Joint IG inspectors examined intelligence oversight (I/O) program management. I/O training, I/O knowledge, and application of I/O. Despite fragmented oversight of I/O training, NSAT operates well in the application of the NSA authorities. The recently appointed I/O Program Manager is well known and has begun to make improvements to the site's I/O processes. The governing Mission Directive does not encompass responsibilities for the oversight of reservists working NSAT missions or delineate Service Cryptologic Components' responsibilities. A highlight of the inspection was the meticulous tracking of sensitive SIGINT database accesses within several mission product lines. The OIG will track corrective actions.

(U/ATOUO) Investigation of Alleged Improprieties at NSA Georgia (NSAG)

(v)	(U)	 	OC	,-J	U
٠,	٠,			-11	

allegation that the	14 August 2009, the NSA OIG completed an investigation into an investigation at NSAG unlawfully intercepted and
processed U.S. person comm	unications.
than witness interviews.	ur investigation involved nterviews of the complainant, more and the forensic analysis of almost rds. We found no targeting of U.S. persons by
(S#SH/REL TO USA, FVEY	<u> </u>
a Werollon Additionally the	NGA OV substantiated an allegation that an NGA C analyst, at the
	NSA OIG substantiated an allegation that an NSAG analyst, at the ed a SIGINT raw traffic database on the selector of a person in the
	as a relative of a valid foreign intelligence target.

()()

(U// FOUO) Misuse	of the	U.S.	SIGINT	System	(USSS)
------------------------------	--------	------	--------	--------	--------

-	(S#SH/REL TO USA, FVEY) a soldier within a U.S. Army
y.	used the USSS to target his wife, also a soldier stationed He
ger en	queried an NSA database for her Following questions from his
and the second	auditor, the soldier confessed his actions. After investigation by the unit substantiated the
ger ^a	misuse, the soldier received non-judicial punishment. Through a Uniformed Code of Military
Market Control	Justice Field Grade Article 15, the soldier's rank was reduced from Sergeant to Specialist: he was
	given 45 days extra duty and forfeited one half month's pay for two months (suspended for 180 days). The unit has revoked the soldier's access to classified information.
3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
2), 1 12: 00 00 	(U) Congressional, IOB, and DNI Notifications (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(TS//SH/NE) NSA notified the Congressional Oversight Committees of a data
	retention compliance problem
	NSA officials moved
	immediately to remedy the error and implemented to ensure that additional FISA-derived
	would be sent only to a repository that has the correct age-off
	period for FISA data. An update to explain remedial steps NSA will take to bring the repositories into compliance was forwarded Copies of the notifications are
	included as an addendum to this report.
	NSA notified the Congressional Oversight Committees of
	journalists' claims of NSA's irresponsibility in executing its mission pursuant to E.O. 12333 or
	FISC Orders. In the letters, NSA provided factual data to refute the claims. The notification is
	enclosed.
	NICA manifest and Continue of the Landing of
_	(TS//SI//NF) NSA provided a notification and update on the handling of Business Records and Pen Register/Trap and Trace data obtained under FISC Orders. Reviews
	conducted over the past several months have uncovered inadequate attention to internal systems
	and systems architecture that resulted in a failure to fully comply with Court imposed procedures
	documented in the FISC Order. The notification describes several compliance matters and
	remediation actions that have been disclosed to the Court and Congressional Oversight
	Committees. The notification and End-to-End Review of Business Records FISA Report is
	enclosed.
	3. (U) Substantive Changes to the NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Program
	, (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -
	(U) Nothing to report.
	4. (U) Changes to NSA/CSS published directives or policies concerning
	intelligence, counterintelligence, or intelligence-related activities and the reason
	for the changes
	(II) Nighting to move the
	(U) Nothing to report.

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

5. (U) Procedures governing the activities of Department of Defense (DoD) intelligence components that affect U.S. persons (DoD Directive 5240.1-R, Procedure 15) Inquiries or Matters Related to Intelligence Oversight Programs

(U) Nothing to report.

SECRETYCOMNY/NOPORN



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

FORT GEORGE G MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

06-17-09 PO6:49 OUT

MEMORANDUM FOR STAFF DIRECTOR, SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: (U) Congressional Notification – New York Times article "E-Mail Surveillance Renews Concerns in Congress" – INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U) On 17 June 2009 The New York Times published an article by James Risen and Eric Lichtblau entitled "E-Mail Surveillance Renews Concerns in Congress." The article contains many assertions that make it seem as if NSA is broadly irresponsible in executing its mission pursuant to Executive Order or Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) Orders. The opposite is true,

(U/FOUO) As you know, and we have acknowledged, NSA has recently identified and reported compliance issues with FISC orders. However, the article's assertion that NSA has deliberately and illegally collected domestic communications of U.S. persons is patently false. The accusations are far afield of the compliance matters we have experienced which largely relate to deficiencies in the way NSA systems managed data that was lawfully collected. Moreover, the fact that the compliance issues have been identified, reported to the FISC and Congressional overseers, and that steps were taken to remedy them testifies to NSA's commitment to oversight.

- (U) While it is difficult to know exactly what the article's anonymous sources are referring to in regards to each of their claims, given the gross mischaracterizations of the article it is important to state for the record what we know to be true.
 - (S//SI//NF) Early in the article it states that in 2005 a former NSA analyst was trained on a program in which NSA routinely examined large volumes of Americans' email messages without court warrants. Given the lack of context provided relating to this claim, it is difficult to know what is actually alleged to have occurred. However, if this refers to the previously well documented and publicly aired allegations of David Faulk, the allegations are fulse a conclusion that NSA's IG will soon report out.

THE RETUCOMINITIANOFORM

• (U) The article goes on to suggest that NSA is not up to the challenge of protecting the privacy rights of U.S. person communications that are encountered as a result of lawful collection of foreign intelligence. To the contrary, NSA has robust minimization procedures and mechanisms in place to limit to the greatest possible extent the impact on privacy rights. These procedures are subject to either approval of the Attorney General, in relation to collection pursuant to EO 12333, or to the FISC, in relation to collection pursuant to FISA.

)(1))(3)-50 USC 3024(i))(3)-18 USC 798)(3)-P. L. 86-36	compliance problem in which NSA's attempts to target 1,000 emails result in the collection against those 1.000 plus another 1,000 that are not intended.
	NSA has employed significant resources and effort to counter These mitigation efforts involve continuous process improvements to prevent and/or detect at the earliest possible point and the application of our targeting and collection minimization procedures.
	 (U//FOUO): The article also identifies a 30% threshold for the inclusion of U.S. person information within NSA databases. There is no truth to this statement, as the existence of U.S. person information in NSA databases is limited not by a percentage number but by the NSA's targeting practices that seek foreign intelligence only. (S//SI//NF): The additional allegation that NSA has "improperly
	accessed the personal email of former President Bill Clinton" is an inaccurate portrayal of an event that dates from 1992. NSA's records of the event demonstrate NSA's commitment to oversight and compliance.
(b)(3)-P L 86-36	o +E#S#/NF+On November 3 1992, an analyst wondering how foreign targets were reacting to Bill Clinton's election typed in a query The ouery was made against the
	There were probably very few emails of any kind in there at that time, and there would not
(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 302 (b)(3)-P L 86-36	24(i)

about Bill Clinton. Immediately after the query was entered, ~ the co-worker sitting next to the analyst identified that this was a query on a U.S. person. The analyst immediately realized that the query was wrong and contrary to authorities. The matter was quickly reported to NSA leadership and resulted in notifications outside of NSA pursuant to Executive branch guidelines. As a result of this incident the analyst's access was suspended while the analyst attended mandatory re-training.

- O (U) Although this activity occurred 17 years ago, we have used it in our oversight training, even in the last several years, as an illustrative example of queries that are inappropriate and must be reported and investigated. This type of query remains as inappropriate today as it was then and will not be tolerated under any circumstances.
- (U) NSA remains committed to providing transparency in these matters a promise made by the DIRNSA. We would be pleased to meet with the Committee to address any concerns that may remain.

JONATHAN E. MILLER Associate Director

Legislative Affairs Office

Copy Furnished:

Minority Staff Director, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence